

Disc 2b

↳ Modular Math

- ↳ allows definition of finite space for num
- calculations become simple
- important for polynomials

Comp → mod 2

Course

↳ intuition

↳ free points

Logistics

↳ as is disc

Mod Basics

$$\frac{x \text{ mod } m}{\Rightarrow [x = am + r]}$$

remainder $\rightarrow 12 \text{ mod } 5 \rightarrow 2$
 $12 = [2 \cdot 5] + 2$

Ex

$$12 \text{ mod } 5$$

$$5 \cdot 2 = 10$$

$$12 - 10 = 2$$

$$12 \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 5$$

Math

↳ mod works for adds as expected

↳ (+ - x) exponents

↳ 0 perform operation

0

↳ simplify term

congruence defines pseudo quality under mod

Bijections

$$f: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$$

$$f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ (mod } m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

finite

Set of values under mod m

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 0\}$$

$$[z = 12] [m = 15]$$

find inverse of z

Inverses

$$12 + 3 \text{ mod } 5$$

$$[2 + 3] \text{ mod } 5$$

$$5 \text{ mod } 5 = 0$$

$$a \cdot x \equiv 1 \text{ mod } m$$

inverse of x is a

a division operation

$$[y/x] \text{ mod } m$$

$$(ay) \text{ mod } m$$

$$[1/x] = a$$

$$[0/x] \equiv [1] \text{ mod } m$$

$$a \cdot x \text{ remainder 1 with } m$$

x	y
1	12
2	6
3	0
4	12
15	4

no 1

$a \cdot x \equiv 1 \text{ mod } m$

1 in range

$\Rightarrow [12 \text{ no inverse under mod } 15]$

↳ 12 and 15
 $\text{gcd}(12, 15) \neq 1$

↳ 3 → multipl. of 3 12

12 · 1 → 12

12 · 2 → 24

$$a \cdot 15 + b = 24$$

multipl. of 3

multipl. of 3

1 Modular Inverses

Recall the definition of inverses from lecture: let $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m > 0$; if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, then we say x is an **inverse of a modulo m** .

Now, we will investigate the existence and uniqueness of inverses.

$15 \neq 5$ ~~\times~~ \nearrow
 $15 \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$ \checkmark

- (a) Is 3 an inverse of 5 modulo 10? → no 3 is not
- (b) Is 3 an inverse of 5 modulo 14? Yes $\hookrightarrow 3 \cdot 5 \pmod{14} = 15 \pmod{14} = 1$
- (c) Is each $3 + 14n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ an inverse of 5 modulo 14?
- (d) Does 4 have inverse modulo 8? no ($\gcd(4, 8) = 4 \neq 1$)
- (e) Suppose $x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}$ are both inverses of a modulo m . Is it possible that $x \not\equiv x' \pmod{m}$?

group

$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{14}$
 $(3 + 14n) \cdot 5$
 $\hookrightarrow 15 + 5 \cdot 14n$ $\boxed{\pmod{14}}$
 $\downarrow \quad \downarrow$
 $1 + 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{14}$

2 Euclid Verification

Let $a = bq + r$ where a, b, q and r are integers. Prove $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$

(This shows that the **Euclidean algorithm** works!)

$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{given } x \geq y \\ \gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, \boxed{r \pmod{y}}) \end{array} \right]$
 \downarrow
 $a \pmod{b} = r$

\hookrightarrow assume right side $= d$ } \Rightarrow and \Leftarrow (provide equality)
 \Rightarrow left side $= d$

3 Extended Euclid

In this problem we will consider the extended Euclid's algorithm. The bolded numbers below keep track of which numbers appeared as inputs to the gcd call. Remember that we are interested in writing the GCD as a linear combination of the original inputs, so we don't want to accidentally simplify the expressions and eliminate the inputs.

- (a) Note that $x \bmod y$, by definition, is always x minus a multiple of y . So, in the execution of Euclid's algorithm, each newly introduced value can always be expressed as a "combination" of the previous two, like so:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(2328, 440) &= \gcd(440, 128) && [\mathbf{128} = 1 \times \mathbf{2328} + (-5) \times \mathbf{440}] \\ &= \gcd(128, 56) && [\mathbf{56} = 1 \times \mathbf{440} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{128}] \\ &= \gcd(56, 16) && [\mathbf{16} = 1 \times \mathbf{128} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{56}] \\ &= \gcd(16, 8) && [\mathbf{8} = 1 \times \mathbf{56} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{16}] \\ &= \gcd(8, 0) && [\mathbf{0} = 1 \times \mathbf{16} + (-2) \times \mathbf{8}] \\ &= 8. \end{aligned}$$

(Fill in the blanks)

- (b) Recall that our goal is to fill out the blanks in

$$8 = \text{---} \times \mathbf{2328} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{440}.$$

To do so, we work back up from the bottom, and express the gcd above as a combination of the two arguments on each of the previous lines:

$$\begin{aligned} 8 &= 1 \times \mathbf{8} + 1 \times \mathbf{0} = 1 \times \mathbf{8} + (1 \times \mathbf{16} + (-2) \times \mathbf{8}) \\ &= 1 \times \mathbf{16} - 1 \times \mathbf{8} \\ &= \text{---} \times \mathbf{56} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{16} \end{aligned}$$

[Hint: Remember, $\mathbf{8} = 1 \times \mathbf{56} + (-3) \times \mathbf{16}$. Substitute this into the above line.]

$$= \text{---} \times \mathbf{128} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{56}$$

[Hint: Remember, $\mathbf{16} = 1 \times \mathbf{128} + (-2) \times \mathbf{56}$.]

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{---} \times \mathbf{440} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{128} \\ &= \text{---} \times \mathbf{2328} + \text{---} \times \mathbf{440} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) In the same way as just illustrated in the previous two parts, calculate the gcd of 17 and 38, and determine how to express this as a "combination" of 17 and 38.

(d) What does this imply, in this case, about the multiplicative inverse of 17, in arithmetic mod 38?

d) by contr.

$(\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}$ inverse of $4 \pmod{8}$)
 $\Rightarrow 4a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

$\Rightarrow 8 \mid 4a - 1 \Rightarrow 4a - 1 \equiv 0$

$\Rightarrow 4a - 1 = 8b \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} a - \frac{1}{4} = 2b \\ a - \frac{1}{2} \neq 2b \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} b \text{ int} \\ \text{contr.} \end{array} \quad \hookrightarrow \text{gcd} = 1$

e) (no)

$xa \equiv x'a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
 $\hookrightarrow xa - x'a \equiv 0$
 $a(x - x') \equiv 0$

\hookrightarrow inverse is unique under mod m

$[xa](x - x') \equiv 0$
 \downarrow
 $(1) \Rightarrow [x \equiv x' \pmod{m}]$

Assume d is a divisor of $[a \text{ and } b]$ $[d \text{ divides } r \text{ and } b]$
 $\hookrightarrow \Rightarrow a - bq = r$
 groups of d \rightarrow groups of d
 $a \text{ and } b$
 $a \pmod{b} [a = bq + r]$
 $\hookrightarrow a \text{ and } b$ also a divisor of r

gcd(a, b)

\hookrightarrow product of all divisor
 $\hookrightarrow 6 \rightarrow (3) (2) (1)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad d \quad d \quad d$